

PCT

REC'D 1 4 APR 2005

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 02 DC 31 E International application No. PCT/IT 02/00773		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)	
		Interna C23C	tional Patent Classification (IPC) o
Applica			
EICS	SRL et al.		
1. T	his international and		
Ä	uthority and is transmitted to t	camination report has been prepared by this line applicant according to Article 36.	nternational Preliminary Examining
		The second to Allicie 36.	<i>y</i> =
2. TI	his REPORT consists of a tota	of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.	
×			
	been amended and are the	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the descripe basis for this report and/or sheets containing	otion, claims and/or drawings which become
_		moor of the Administrative Instructions under	rectifications made before this Authority
Th	ese annexes consist of a total	of 3 sheets.	· ule FC1).
Thi	is report contains indications re	elating to the following items:	
. Thi I II	is report contains indications re Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of		
 V	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of ☐ Lack of unity of invention 	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step a	and industrial applicability
1 11 111	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of ☐ Lack of unity of invention ☒ Reasoned statement 	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step	and industrial applicability
 V	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of order ☐ Lack of unity of invention ☒ Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation 	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step a on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in ons supporting such statement	and industrial applicability eventive step or industrial applicability;
 V 	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of open control in the control i	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step a on inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in ons supporting such statement	and industrial applicability eventive step or industrial applicability;
 V 	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of open control of the control of the control of the control open c	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step a on Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in ons supporting such statement and	eventive step or industrial applicability;
 V 	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of open control of the control of the control of the control open c	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step a on inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in ons supporting such statement	and industrial applicability eventive step or industrial applicability;
 V 	Basis of the opinion □ Priority □ Non-establishment of or Lack of unity of invention □ Lack of unity of invention □ Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation □ Certain documents cites □ Certain defects in the inc	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step a on Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in ons supporting such statement and	eventive step or industrial applicability;
 V 	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment of open control of the control of the control of the control open c	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step on on inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in ons supporting such statement ad international application in the international application	eventive step or industrial applicability;
I III IV V VI VIII VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Cack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the incident of the demand	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step a on Inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in ons supporting such statement and	eventive step or industrial applicability;
I III IV V VI VIII VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Cack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the incident of the demand	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step on on inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in ons supporting such statement ad international application in the international application	eventive step or industrial applicability;
IIIIIVVVIIIVIIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Cack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the incident of Certain observations of the demand	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in one supporting such statement and international application in the international application. Date of completion of thi	eventive step or industrial applicability;
IIIIIVVVIIIVIIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Cack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the initial Certain observations of the demand mission of the demand alling address of the international examining authority:	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step on on ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, in one supporting such statement and international application in the international application. Date of completion of thi	eventive step or industrial applicability;
IIIIIVVVIIIVIIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Cack of unity of inventi Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the incertain observations of the demand Mailing address of the international examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich	popinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and a complete such statement and international application Date of completion of thi 12.04.2005 Authorized Officer	eventive step or industrial applicability;
IIIIIVVVIIIVIIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Cack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the incertain observations of the demand mission of the demand alliing address of the international examining authority: European Patent Office	popinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and a complete such statement and international application Date of completion of thi 12.04.2005 Authorized Officer	eventive step or industrial applicability;

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IT 02/00773

I. Basis of the report 1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): **Description, Pages** 1-12 as originally filed Claims, Numbers 1-21 received on 23.03.2005 with letter of 21.03.2005 **Drawings, Sheets** 1-3 as originally filed 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages: \boxtimes the claims, Nos.: 22-26 the drawings, sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/IT 02/00773

b. LJ	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-21

1-21

Inventive step (IS)

No: Claims

Yes: Claims No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-21

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/IT 02/00773

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 02/38839 A (Aixtron AG; Kaeppeler Johannes (DE); Wischmeyer Frank (DE); Berge) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16)
 - D2: US-A-5 792 257 (Janzen Erik et al) 11 August 1998 (1998-08-11)
 - D3: US-A-4 794 217 (Li Zhi Jian et al) 27 December 1988 (1988-12-27)
 - D4: WO 02/38838 A (Aixtron AG; Kaeppeler Johannes (DE); Wischmeyer Frank (DE); Berge) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16)
 - D5: US-A-5 695 567 (Nilsson Roger et al) 9 December 1997 (1997-12-09)
 - D6: GB-A-1 458 222 (Electricity Council) 8 December 1976 (1976-12-08)
- 2). A susceptor system characterized by having a hollow suceptor element having at least a through hole extending in a longitudinal direction is neither described by any of the documents D1-D6 cited in the international search report nor suggested by the combination of their teachings.
 - This feature leads to a confinement of the currents induced by the heating solenoid to the peripheral region and thus to them flowing very close to the treatment chamber.
 - Claims 1 to 13 are therefore considered to meet the requirements of Art. 33 PCT.
- Since claims 14 to 20 deal with an apparatus which comprises a susceptor according to claims 1 to 13, claims 14 to 20 are also considered to meet the requirements of Art. 33 PCT.
- 4). The description is not in conformity with the claims as required by Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT, since said through hole is an essential feature of the invention (Article 6 PCT).